



HMUN 2025

Reconsidering the use and efficiency of (arms) embargos

GA1

Elif Tac and Tayra Yuna

President and Deputy President



Forum: General Assembly 1

Issue: Reconsidering the use and efficiency of (arms) embargos

Name: Elif Tac, Tayra Yuna

Position: President, Deputy President

Introduction

Arms embargoes play an important role in our international community. Especially when it comes to hindering the escalation of conflicts, encouraging peace, and upholding universally formed international law, society can diminish violence and deter aggressive action by restricting the supply of weapons and military tools. This will allow states to adhere to international standards to a greater extent. Although embargoes are used across the world, the question of how effective arms embargoes are continues to be heavily debated. The uncertainty revolving around the effectiveness of implementing and enforcing such embargoes hinders it from fully becoming a part of global security strategies.

The idea of arms embargoes was first introduced during the early 20th century. Most arms embargoes took place after the United Nations was created. Since arms embargoes were first introduced they have been used in a variety of situations. These include civil conflicts, interstate wars, as well as in cases that involve direct human rights violations. While some of the embargoes have done much good and been praised by the general public, some have faced criticism for worsening the situations of several civilian populations. This specter of results underlines the need for a greater understanding regarding when and how such arms embargoes truly will benefit the nations.

A large issue surrounding the topic of arms embargoes is its enforcement. Embargoes heavily depend on collaboration between the UN member states. This is for its supervision and regulations during the arms transfers. Thereby conflicting national interests, lack of resources as well as insufficient political support are the main causes of inconsistent enforcement. As a result of this many breaches of embargoes have taken place. Several states try to get away from the restrictions by using covert strategies, third-party middlemen, or black-market channels. Such infractions lead to a questioning of the embargoes' credibility as it raises concerns regarding their effectiveness in solving geopolitical conflicts.

The global world has also wrestled with the moral implications of placing arms embargoes. Even though the purpose of arms embargoes is to reinforce international law and human rights, the methods in which are used to implement them could be questioned. Through arms embargoes

oppressive regimes and impede legitimate defense efforts might occur. This ethical aspect has facilitated discussions regarding the balance that must be kept between fulfilling strategic goals as well as minimizing the harm vulnerable groups might experience. Therefore, policymakers must weigh the potential benefits of such embargoes with the risks that might occur when considering the stakeholders involved, and the overarching geopolitical landscapes.

In our current society, the rise of technological developments and the newer patterns of warfare have introduced additional points of consideration in the discussion regarding arms embargoes. The expansion of cyberweapons, drones, and other forms of advanced military technology has made society question the need for embargo measures. Therefore the debates regarding the embargoes should consider the newer times society has moved into.

Reevaluating the application, effectiveness, and need for arms embargoes is thereby necessary. One should also note the historical use, as well as the successes and failures the arms embargoes previously have facilitated.

This research will thereby be discussing the given topic to investigate the elements that affect the success and failures of embargoes. By providing insights on how they may be refined to better fulfill the objectives of peace and security the research report will present an analysis of the arms embargoes as a whole. Delegates will acquire a more profound understanding of the detailed challenges often associated with arms embargoes.

Definition of Key Terms

Arms Embargo

A restriction is placed on a state's supply, sale, or transfer of weapons, as well as all other forms of military equipment to another specific state or group. These are often imposed by international organizations such as the United Nations.

Black Market

A digital network of illicit trade that functions outside of legal frameworks. Black markets could revolve around the sale and transfer of arms in violation of embargoes. They also include the sale of other illegal supplies such as but not limited to organs, and drugs. An illicit trade network that operates outside legal frameworks, often involving the covert sale and transfer of arms in violation of embargoes.

Non-State Actors

Non-state actors could be referred to as entities or non-state groups. The term describes groups that do not affiliate themselves with any specific government. Examples of these are armed groups, terrorist organizations, and militias.

Humanitarian Crisis

A humanitarian crisis is a situation where large populations face threats and infracts from their security. It could also indicate that their health and well-being might not be adhered to due to natural disasters, war, or other situations of emergencies.

General Overview

The use of arms embargoes to better international diplomacy and conflict prevention has progressed over the last few decades. Initial events of arms embargoes were frequently improvised measures played by individual states, or vital groups within states. An example is considered to be the Spanish Civil War from 1936-1939. Several countries implemented embargoes to limit the external assistance of other conflicting factors. These motions resulted in being mostly ineffective due to severe violations and poor coordination.

After the creation of the UN, a rapid increase took place in the use of arms embargoes. The Security Council was given authority to facilitate binding actions, such as creating arms embargoes. This was to combat the threats placed on international peace and security. Early cases of its use include the 1963 embargo against South Africa. This was aimed at resolving its situation with the apartheid practices. The 1977 embargo against Iraq is also another example of its frequent use. This embargo was created to restrict the Iraqi military aggression. These two conflicts feature the possibilities of beneficial, and dysfunctional outcomes that might occur as a result of an embargo.

Enforcement

To implement successful arms embargoes states must have the resources to also enforce powerful monitoring systems, international collaboration, as well as sufficient resources. Therefore vast variations occurred in the nation's capacity. This was due to the prioritizations made often led to inconsistent enforcement. States that have significant interest in a conflict ending in a certain manner, as it would benefit their nation, might be less positive to enforcing an embargo. This hinders other states from resolving their disputes.

Circumvention

Actors who aim to avoid embargoes often take advantage of loopholes they might find in international law. They use third-party mediators, counterfeit documentation as well as hidden forms of shipping that allow for access to arms to illegal actors.

Unintended Consequences: It is important to realize that arms embargoes might unintentionally worsen conflicts. This is because they might create disparities of power by non-state actors being strengthened and the security forces of the state itself losing their legitimacy. It is through such incidents that arms embargoes have led to an increase in black-market activities. An action that further destabilizes the state.

Humanitarian Impact: Humanitarian effects are another issue that should be considered, especially in vulnerable areas where supplies and other resources are limited. As a result of an embargo the flow of goods and produce might be affected. This could worsen the economy of many places that might already be struggling from severe poverty and financial insecurities.

Geopolitical Dynamics

Geopolitical factors often play a huge role in determining whether the results of an embargo were successful or not. Larger states may take advantage of embargoes to prompt their own strategic goals. This complicates the attempts to achieve unbiased and universally accepted results. A specific example of such an incident is how several of the UN member states have contributed to postponements of the embargoes by stalling the measures to benefit their interests. This was mostly observed during the Syrian Civil War.

Regional factors are also vital when it comes down to the question of arms embargoes. Many neighboring states of the conflict area might act as transit points for illegal arms shipments. They might even favor one specific actor and therefore support them during the embargo. Hence contradicting the aims of the embargo, making it inefficient. Therefore it is important to consider how regional areas could collaborate by building trust amongst the states. This strengthens the effectiveness of embargoes.

The Role of Technology

Advancements in technology have simultaneously benefited and disregarded support for the implementation of arms embargoes. Tools such as satellite imaging, blockchain technology, and sophisticated tracking systems have on the one side presented new possibilities for overseeing arms transfers and identifying violations. However, contrarily the escalation of cyberweapons and 3D-

printed guns has enhanced challenges within the embargo frameworks as newer strategies must be developed to handle such issues.

Timeline of Key Events

Date	Event
24 October 1945	The United Nations is founded.
1967	UN imposes its first arms embargo on South Africa in response to apartheid policies.
4 November 1977	The embargoes were made mandatory in South Africa.
1991	Iraq is subject to a UN arms embargo after invading Kuwait during the Gulf War.
1992	Arms embargo imposed on Yugoslavia amidst the escalating violence in the Balkans.
2004	The EU imposes an arms embargo on Sudan due to the Darfur conflict.
2011	The UN implements an arms embargo on Libya during the uprising against Muammar Gaddafi.
2014	EU and US impose arms embargoes on Russia following its annexation of Crimea.
2018	UN investigates violations of the arms embargo in Yemen.
2021	Arms embargo imposed on Myanmar by the EU following the military coup.
2023	UN Security Council discusses strengthening embargo enforcement in conflict-prone regions.

Major Parties Involved

African Union (AU)

The African Union frequently works with the UN to combat the problems caused by illegal arms flow. The AU, dedicated to advancing peace and stability, regularly mediates disputes and supports disarmament programs to lessen violence in weaker nations. However, a lack of resources and open borders that permit the importation of weaponry impede its activities. To supplement embargo enforcement with peace-building measures that address the underlying causes of violence, such as poverty and political instability, the AU highlights the necessity of international cooperation and capacity-building activities.

China

China's engagement with arms embargoes is distinguished by how it compromises its obligations as a member of the UN Security Council and its status as a significant arms exporter. As evidenced by claims about the Darfur conflict in Sudan, China has come under fire for allegedly indirectly sending weapons to embargoed zones through private companies or third-party states, even though it supports numerous UN embargoes. Despite its growing involvement in multilateral talks on strengthening embargo enforcement, Beijing frequently uses state sovereignty and non-interference to justify its activities. China's position demonstrates its aim to uphold its geopolitical might while presenting a responsible global image.

European Union (EU)

In addition to UN-mandated arms embargoes, the European Union (EU), a leading proponent of arms control, regularly enforces its own. To stop arms exports to conflict areas and nations that violate human rights, including Myanmar and Libya, the EU imposes stringent export laws among its member states. Internal conflicts do, however, occasionally surface, as demonstrated by the controversy surrounding military supplies to Saudi Arabia during the Yemen war. Notwithstanding these obstacles, the EU is still working to advance international arms embargo norms, stressing accountability and openness in the arms trade while offering resources for enforcement and monitoring.

Russia

Russia selectively supports international measures because its approach to arms embargoes frequently fits with its geopolitical ambitions. Russia regularly opposes restrictions that jeopardize its friends or strategic interests, particularly in Syria, even if it supports some UN embargoes, such as those that target non-state actors in Africa. Russia's role has become even more complex due to accusations of violations of arms embargoes, including in Libya. Notwithstanding these disputes,

Moscow supports tackling the underlying causes of conflict—such as political and economic instability—rather than depending just on punitive actions like embargoes.

United Nations (UN)

Through the Security Council, the UN continues to be the primary body responsible for enforcing and overseeing arms embargoes. The goal of these embargoes is to stop the spread of weapons and reduce violence in areas of conflict. However, because the UN relies on member states for implementation, enforcement is a recurring problem. As demonstrated by the ongoing crises in Yemen and Syria, disagreements among Security Council members frequently erode embargoes or prevent their implementation. Notwithstanding these drawbacks, the UN keeps improving its oversight tools, like expert panels and reports, to improve efficiency and compliance.

United States (US)

The United States is a major actor in arms embargo debates. The US has opposed proposals for such restrictions against important allies like Saudi Arabia, even while it has backed embargoes against countries like North Korea and Iran. The contradiction of these actions has received criticism. Nonetheless, the United States makes significant investments in programs and technologies, including border control systems and arms-tracking procedures, to enhance the enforcement of embargoes. The US, a leading arms exporter, balances national interests with international rules to shape the global arms embargo scenario.

Previous Attempts to Solve the Issue

International Oversight Mechanisms

The United Nations has established expert panels and monitoring groups to investigate and report violations of arms embargoes. These groups provide detailed accounts of breaches, naming actors involved and recommendations for measures that strengthen its compliance.

Regional Collaboration

Continental unions such as the African Union and the European Union have played active roles in implementing embargoes through peacekeeping missions and arms trade regulations. For instance, the AU has worked on enforcing arms embargoes in conflict regions such as Somalia and Sudan. In the Balkans, this has been demonstrated through NATO-backed arms control initiatives

sought to manage post-conflict arms proliferation through demobilization and disarmament programs.

Public Awareness Campaigns

Advocacy by nongovernmental organizations such as Amnesty International and Human Rights Watch has highlighted violations of embargoes which put pressure on corporations and governments to comply with international norms.

Possible Solutions

Strengthening Enforcement

An independent organization dedicated to monitoring and enforcing arms embargoes could be created under the auspices of the United Nations. This body would have access to real-time surveillance technologies such as but not limited to satellite imagery and blockchain-based tracking systems to oversee arms transfers. It would also work closely with member states to ensure active collaboration. Furthermore, the introduction of automatic sanctions can be implemented for states and entities that are found to be violating the embargoes. Penalties can be but not limited to trade restrictions, travel bans, and asset freezes, depending on the severity of the violations.

Enhancing Transparency

Arms manufacturers, transporters, and brokers should be required to register and report their activities to an international database. This would allow for the tracking of shipments and identifying anomalies that could signal potential breaches. Furthermore, regular publication of reports detailing compliance with embargoes can foster accountability, allowing violations and their owners to be named in public forums, resulting in the possible deterioration of illegal arms trading.

Further Reading

- i. [The United Nations in South Africa](#)
- ii. [Databases for Arms Embargoes](#)
- iii. [Arms Trade Treaty](#)

Bibliography

- “AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC STATEMENT.” *amnesty.org*, www.amnesty.org/en/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/mde240272013en.pdf.
Arms Embargo | Security Council.
main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/sanctions/2206/exemptions/arms_embargo.
Arms embargo | Security Council.
main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/sanctions/2653/exemptions/arms-embargo.
Arms Embargo | Security Council.
main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/sanctions/1518/arms-embargo.
Arms Embargoes | SIPRI. 19 Dec. 2000, www.sipri.org/databases/embargoes.
AU/UN Consultation Experts Meeting to Discuss Ways to Curb Illicit Trade of Small Arms and Light Weapons | African Union. au.int/en/pressreleases/20231027/auun-consultation-experts-meeting-discuss-ways-curb-illicit-trade-small-arms.
“CHINA’S EXPORTS OF SMALL ARMS AND LIGHT WEAPONS.” *sipri.org*, www.sipri.org/sites/default/files/files/PP/SIPRI38.pdf.
Collins, Robert O., et al. “Sudan | Map, War, Population, Religion, and Facts.” *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 20 Dec. 2024, www.britannica.com/place/Sudan/Conflict-in-Darfur.
The Conflicts | International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia.
www.icty.org/en/about/what-former-yugoslavia/conflicts.
“EU Arms Embargo on Myanmar (Burma).” *SIPRI*, 11 Nov. 2024, www.sipri.org/databases/embargoes/eu_arms_embargoes/myanmar.
“EU Arms Embargo on South Sudan.” *SIPRI*, 27 May 2019, www.sipri.org/databases/embargoes/eu_arms_embargoes/south_sudan.

“EU Arms Embargo on Sudan.” *SIPRI*, 23 Nov. 2012,

www.sipri.org/databases/embargoes/eu_arms_embargoes/sudan.

“EU sanctions: A key foreign and security policy instrument.” *europarl.europa.eu*,

[www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2018/621870/EPRS_BRI\(2018\)621870_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2018/621870/EPRS_BRI(2018)621870_EN.pdf).

“How Can the Myanmar Military Coup Be Overturned? | Global Witness.” *Global*

Witness, [www.globalwitness.org/en/blog/three-months-military-coup-what-should-international-community-do-support-people-](http://www.globalwitness.org/en/blog/three-months-military-coup-what-should-international-community-do-support-people-myanmar/?gad_source=1&gclid=CjwKCAiApY-7BhBjEiwAQMrrEQo3nbKUX_W15iOjwK2GX9uoJY8eZo89QAIwAVWPycgw09hl381u3xoCkZ4QAvD_BwE)

[myanmar/?gad_source=1&gclid=CjwKCAiApY-](http://www.globalwitness.org/en/blog/three-months-military-coup-what-should-international-community-do-support-people-myanmar/?gad_source=1&gclid=CjwKCAiApY-7BhBjEiwAQMrrEQo3nbKUX_W15iOjwK2GX9uoJY8eZo89QAIwAVWPycgw09hl381u3xoCkZ4QAvD_BwE)

[7BhBjEiwAQMrrEQo3nbKUX_W15iOjwK2GX9uoJY8eZo89QAIwAVWPycgw09hl381u3xoCkZ4QAvD_BwE](http://www.globalwitness.org/en/blog/three-months-military-coup-what-should-international-community-do-support-people-myanmar/?gad_source=1&gclid=CjwKCAiApY-7BhBjEiwAQMrrEQo3nbKUX_W15iOjwK2GX9uoJY8eZo89QAIwAVWPycgw09hl381u3xoCkZ4QAvD_BwE).

“How Emerging Technology Is Breaking Arms Control.” *Default*,

www.lawfaremedia.org/article/how-emerging-technology-breaking-arms-control.

Milestones in the History of U.S. Foreign Relations - Office of the Historian.

[history.state.gov/milestones/1937-](http://history.state.gov/milestones/1937-1945/un#:~:text=The%20Senate%20approved%20the%20UN,nations%20had%20ratified%20the%20Charter)

[1945/un#:~:text=The%20Senate%20approved%20the%20UN,nations%20had%20ratified%20the%20Charter](http://history.state.gov/milestones/1937-1945/un#:~:text=The%20Senate%20approved%20the%20UN,nations%20had%20ratified%20the%20Charter).

SECURITY COUNCIL IMPOSES ARMS EMBARGO ON FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

YUGOSLAVIA, PENDING ACTION TO RESOLVE KOSOVO CRISIS | Meetings

Coverage and Press Releases. 31 Mar. 1998,

press.un.org/en/1998/19980331.sc6496.html.

---. 31 Mar. 1998, press.un.org/en/1998/19980331.sc6496.html.

“Security Council Imposes Arms Embargo on Yemen Rebels, Demands All Parties

Resume UN-backed Talks.” *UN News*, 14 Apr. 2015,

news.un.org/en/story/2015/04/495802-security-council-imposes-arms-embargo-yemen-rebels-demands-all-parties-resume.

Security Council Renews Arms Embargo, Travel Ban, Asset Freeze Imposed on Those Threatening Peace in Yemen, by 11 Votes in Favour, None Against, 4 Abstentions | Meetings Coverage and Press Releases. 28 Feb. 2022, press.un.org/en/2022/sc14810.doc.htm.

Studies, The Africa Center for Strategic. “Making Arms Embargoes in Africa More Effective – Africa Center.” *Africa Center*, 22 Apr. 2022, africacenter.org/spotlight/making-arms-embargoes-africa-more-effective.

The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica. “Muammar al-Qaddafi | Biography, Death, and Facts.” *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 20 Dec. 2024, www.britannica.com/biography/Muammar-al-Qaddafi.

“Trade Sanctions, Arms Embargoes, and Other Trade Restrictions.” *GOV.UK*, 29 Oct. 2021, [www.gov.uk/guidance/current-arms-embargoes-and-other-restrictions#:~:text=An%20arms%20embargo%20is%20a,\(%20OSCE%20\)%20or%20the%20UK](https://www.gov.uk/guidance/current-arms-embargoes-and-other-restrictions#:~:text=An%20arms%20embargo%20is%20a,(%20OSCE%20)%20or%20the%20UK).

“---.” *GOV.UK*, 29 Oct. 2021, www.gov.uk/guidance/current-arms-embargoes-and-other-restrictions.

UN Documents for Iraq: Security Council Resolutions. www.securitycouncilreport.org/un_documents_type/security-council-resolutions/page/5?ctype=Iraq&cbtype=iraq.

UN Lifts Arms Embargo on Yugoslavia | Arms Control Association. www.armscontrol.org/act/2001-10/un-lifts-arms-embargo-yugoslavia.

UNODA. “Arms Trade Treaty – UNODA.” *DISARMAMENT.UNODA*, 2014, disarmament.unoda.org/convarms/arms-trade-treaty-2/.

United Nations. “Peace and Security | United Nations.” *United Nations*,
www.un.org/en/global-issues/peace-and-security.

“The United Nations in South Africa | the United Nations in South Africa.” *The United Nations in South Africa | the United Nations in South Africa*,
southafrica.un.org/en/about/about-the-un#:~:text=7%20August%201963%20%E2%80%94%20The%20Security,mandatory%20on%204%20November%201977.

“U.S. and European Union Arms Sales Since the 1989 Embargoes.” *govinfo.gov*,
www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/GAOREPORTS-T-NSIAD-98-171/pdf/GAOREPORTS-T-NSIAD-98-171.pdf.

U.S. Lifts Indonesia Arms Embargo | Arms Control Association.
www.armscontrol.org/act/2006-01/us-lifts-indonesia-arms-embargo.

---. www.armscontrol.org/act/2006-01/us-lifts-indonesia-arms-embargo.

Yerofeyev, Ivan Alekseyevich, et al. “Ukraine | History, Flag, Population, President, Map, Language, and Facts.” *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 21 Dec. 2024,
www.britannica.com/place/Ukraine/The-crisis-in-Crimea-and-eastern-Ukraine.